



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_46085
Title of the Manuscript:	IMPLEMENTATION EVALUATION METRICS FOR ENTERPRISE RESOURCE PLANNING SOLUTION – A CASE OF KIBABII UNIVERSITY
Type of the Article	STUDY PAPER

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Paper Work is Good	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	MINOR REVISION IS NEEDED This paper is not mentioned before and after, use of ERP solution Not mention the quality Metrics evaluation Related survey is missing Threshold value is missing the measurement factors Add some reference paper	I do concur with required minor revision have mentioned the paper before and after the ERP solution. Added a section on metric evaluation and related survey. For measurement factor all required is to measure TOR that had be implemented. I have added more references.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Accept the paper with Minimal Revision	