



SDI Review Form 1.6

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_CJAST_46085 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | IMPLEMENTATION EVALUATION METRICS FOR ENTERPRISE RESOURCE PLANNING SOLUTION – A CASE OF KIBABII UNIVERSITY |
| Type of the Article | |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|--|---|
| <u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments | | |
| <u>Minor</u> REVISION comments | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - the paper needs revision of spelling and English language (probably it isn't in the final form) - more consistent literature review or/and more references for a more solid scientific substantiation of the paper - conclusions are too summary and too general without concrete references to the content and the results of the paper - I think it should be more relevant if the introduction of the paper would contain considerations about main topics of the article: the metrics, the ERP system implementation process, the need to use metrics to evaluate the ERP implementation etc. | <p>This has been done.</p> <p>More references have be added and an enhanced literature review.</p> <p>The conclusion has been rewritten.</p> <p>This has been addressed.</p> |
| <u>Optional/General</u> comments | <p>Some optional recommendations:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - more emphases on necessity and importance of the proposed metrics - some results (effects) obtained after usage of the proposed metrics - identification and presentation of a few limits of the proposed metrics - more comparisons with other metrics presented in literature or used in industry | <p>The emphasis has been enhanced</p> <p>Effect this has considered with considered Kenya audit generation indirect evaluation of the metrics as used in the payment for ERP implementation.</p> <p>The limitation of the metrics is highlighted in the conclusion.</p> |