



**SDI EDITORIAL COMMENTS FORM**

EDITORIAL COMMENT'S on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to editor's comments
<p>The study was conducted to correlate weather parameters with the production of major fruit crops of Navsari district in Southern Gujarat, but failures are evident, especially as regards the use of the data and the statistical procedure, which is not clear and, in my view, insufficient.</p> <p>It is possible that the data used are not sufficient to meet the text proposal, which suggests the need for a longer time series. The authors do not comment on the origin of the data, whether they are daily, monthly or if they are only annual.</p> <p>I recommend that, first, the descriptive statistics of the data be presented. Subsequently, the authors should evaluate the variables through partial correlations and finally, if they still feel it necessary, they can present the Pearson correlations.</p> <p>I strongly believe that there was some confusion about the use of the Coefficient of Variation, which I suggest that the authors make a new review on this statistic.</p>	<p>I accept all the comments and corrected in the manuscript.</p> <p>Data is not sufficient as per editors comment but I have mentioned in the conclusion of the paper that "To establish food security, to estimate regional fruit production in future, and to examine the impacts of weather and climate change on fruit production and productivity this study must be conducted on a large scale and might be need to take other climatic factors in consideration.</p>