



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_48441
Title of the Manuscript:	A REVIEW ON MANAGING SOLID WASTE PRODUCED FROM HOMES IN MALAYSIA
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	It could be expanded with information on good solid waste disposal practices in major countries in other latitudes, such as Brazil, Mexico, etc.	Thanks for your suggestion. The main aim of the current write-up is about the waste produced by homes in Malaysia. Hence, we had focused on the rules and legislation passed by the Malaysian Parliamentary cabinet and other 'standard of procedures' implemented in Malaysia in line with the paper objectives. However, we will consider your suggestion in our next journal paper write-up.
Optional/General comments	I find the review on solid waste management very interesting. It could be recommended to other researchers to deal with the issue of polluting waste, which endangers human security	Thanks for your positive comments. We are humbled that our paper is written in a well structured manner.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	