



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_48488
Title of the Manuscript:	Applying the Method of Paired Comparison Technique to Determine the Most Critical Issue Associated with the Livelihood Security of the Tribal Farmers of Meghalaya
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Improved the language and redundancy of similar word in the article to revised thoroughly as per suggested by referee's. Crosschecked the reference and corrected as per referee comments or as per format of journal. Need based research is conducted by the authors at that particular region. If possibility then please specify the location on the map. The author collected very good datasets if they used this data in cluster forms and they are presented in a group form; which is more appropriate. In whole manuscript, check the spellings, repetition and the sentences. If there is possibility then improve it. 	<p>Appreciate the feedback and suggestions. Corrections are highlighted</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Language and redundancy checked as suggested by the referee Cross checking of reference done The map of the study area is provided The results based on the cluster of the two different districts are shown Spellings, repetition and sentences are checked
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	