



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_48621
Title of the Manuscript:	PARKIA BIGLOBOSA (AFRICAN LOCUST BEAN) PULP AS A GREEN INHIBITOR FOR MILD STEEL CORROSION
Type of the Article	Original

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The research report is accepted if the minor corrections would be mad	Minor corrections would and have been made.
Minor REVISION comments	Check the comment link and do the necessary revision.	Comment links have been checked and the necessary revision done.
Optional/General comments	Synchronise the choice of reference list as it regards to the order of Abbreviation of author's names. For instance, John N.M. or John MN or John, N. M. Choose one of the style presented.	Choice of reference list have been synchronized to the order of abbreviation of author's names: John, MN

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	