



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_48708
Title of the Manuscript:	Feasibility ACHIEVEMENT WITHOUT the hassLE of Artificial variables
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:
(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>The subject of the paper looks interesting and suitable for CJAST. Overall, the paper has been fairly organized and presented. However, the paper needs a major revision and development before it can be accepted for publication in CJAST.</p> <p>The following comments need to be considered for the publication of the paper:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The title reflects the contents of the paper. 2. The abstract of the paper reads well. 3. The introduction section should be developed further in order to motivate the readers in the subject and demonstrate the currency of the subject. It should include latest journal references and corresponding extracts from others case study order to highlight currency and relevance of the research subject and scope. 4. Applications section has been poorly described and motivated. Develop this section further ! 6. The language of the paper needs a careful editing for the international readership. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Thanks 2. Thanks 3. Corrected 4. Not agreed 5. Not agreed
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	