



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_49057
Title of the Manuscript:	Review on Research and Developments of Sinks for Various Applications
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	This was a great work for reviewing a number of papers in unique topics. I appreciate it. However, it would be better if you give a proposed design after you come up with several curiosities and ideas at the end of your paper	<i>Thanks for your positive feedback. Very much appreciated. Please be noted that we are unable to provide any information of our design as all information are private and confidential due to a patent application which is being screened at our institution currently.</i>
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	-	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	-	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	