



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_CJAST_49142</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Aloe vera bio-extract coating exhibiting extended shelf life and better fruit quality attributes in pomegranate</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The topic should read effect of <i>Aloe vera</i> coating on shelf life and quality of pomegranate fruits during storage.</li> <li>2. The abstract is devoid of result figures. Please insert the appropriate result figures in the abstract.</li> <li>3. Line 49 should read Materials and methods.</li> <li>4. The materials and methods should have subtitles viz: experimental location, collection of pomegranate fruits, collection and preparation Aloe vera and ginger extracts, extract concentrations, experimental design, data collected, data analysis.</li> <li>5. The materials and methods is too shallow. You have just written a summary. You need to give a step by step detailed procedure of each step and a detailed step by step explanation on how the parameters were evaluated.</li> <li>6. Your experimental design is not correct. Bring out the factors of the experiment, experimental levels, treatment combinations, number of plots.</li> <li>7. Should have errors bars to indicate significant differences between treatments.</li> <li>8. Arrange the manuscript according to journal specifications.</li> <li>9. Make compulsory grammatical corrections in the entire manuscript.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Accepted and extended word deleted from the title. Effect is not accepted as in standard norms, the title of the manuscript should be reflective of findings of the study.</li> <li>2. Accepted and values are presented in parenthesis as and where required.</li> <li>3. Modified heading as suggested.</li> <li>4. Corrected as suggested.</li> <li>5. Corrected as suggested.</li> <li>6. The experiment was conducted in complete randomized design. In the manuscript text, it is rewritten to avoid any ambiguousness.</li> <li>7. In the software and prescribed thesis format of Haryana Agricultural University, only critical differences were calculated and thus we do not have standard error of bars to placed on bar diagram.</li> <li>8. Accepted and modified.</li> <li>9. Grammar checked and corrected wherever necessary.</li> </ol>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Good work by Authors.	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	