



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Chemical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	CSIJ_49022
Title of the Manuscript:	SYNTHESIS OF VANILLIN FROM LIGNIN
Type of the Article	RESEARCH ARTICLE

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment:	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Authors have synthesized vanillin from lignin. Even though the work reflects a novelty, but the manuscript has the following drawbacks. So the paper should be rejected.	
	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The explanation of the results and discussion section is very poor. 2. The abstract section is not clear. 3. The authors should sharply distinguish between vanilla and vanillin. 4. This work is a substandard category. 5. The authors seem to be very careless, as the conclusion section is missing. 6. The English write up is very poor. 	All corrections noted
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	No