



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">European Journal of Medicinal Plants</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJMP_48701
Title of the Manuscript:	Chemical Composition of Abrus precatorius seeds
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>It is very well-marked that this study is acceptable with minor revision and useful for publish in this journal. The discussion section can be developed with literature data.</p> <p>In addition to, please add some pape and you can use them in manuscript.</p> <p>Please see below for papers.</p> <p>1. Sevindik M, Akgul H, Pehlivan M, Selamoglu Z. Determination of therapeutic potential of Mentha longifolia ssp. longifolia. Fresen Environ Bull. 2017; 26: 4757-4763.</p>	The suggested reference had already been included in the manuscript by the Reviewer (ref. 1). Another four references have been added to the text.
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	