



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	European Journal of Medicinal Plants
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJMP_48966
Title of the Manuscript:	Determination of Minerals, Total Phenolic Content, Flavonoids, Antioxidant and Antimicrobial Activities of sweet Lupinus Angustifolius Ethanolic Extract in Palestine
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Please see attached file with tract changes/comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> All corrections indicated by the reviewer in the manuscript were made (rephrasing and rewriting sentences). We used the word "ethanol" to indicate the solvent, while when using "ethanolic" it is usually associated with extract (unified over the manuscript). We also added several sentences to the conclusion. In our first draft and in the reference part, we used the NLM catalog to write the abbreviations of the journals and references, but we wrote the "whole" names of the journal as per your request (Write out!). Additionally, the form of all references was unified as per the requirements of the EJMP. Polyphenols was corrected to phenolics. <p>I hope that these corrections are suitable and enough for our manuscript to be considered for publication at your esteemed journal.</p>
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	