



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	European Journal of Nutrition & Food Safety
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJNFS_48256
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact study of institutional food supplementation on nutritional status of pre-school children
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Some references were old (for example: Jelliffe (1966) WHO, 1986), Please used recent references.</p> <p>Need reference "Morbidity pattern regarding, suffering from any kind of illness such as cold, cough, fever, vomiting, diarrhoea etc. was recorded for one month."</p> <p>Material and methods: Miss statistical analysis</p> <p>"In the investigation and socio-economic information was collected from the parents by using questioner. " Where was this information in results?</p> <p>Results: Lack of information about the difference between "cyclic menu" and "supplemented food". Must be put in a numbered table and in the beginning of results. Furthermore, the authors must describe the composition of dishes. What are a Uppit and Bisibelebath dishes?</p> <p>Table 1: The values were so similar to have statistical differences. Which was the test used to do statistical analysis?</p> <p>Lack of discussion, the results must be compared with other studies already done. Furthermore, the authors only described the results obtained in Tables and Figures, without explained why these results occur (example: high values, because higher intake of carbohydrates or fat, more meals, more liquids, socio –economic factors)</p> <p>Conclusion of work should not have references</p>	<p>We used Jelliffe and WHO methodology to assess the nutritional status of children. Since this is a standard scale, everywhere acceptable, and it gives more accuracy. Hence, we did not get any recent standard and accurate studies. So we used Jelliffe and WHO methodology. Kindly accept.</p> <p>Morbidity refers to the level of sickness and disability characterizing a population by Thomas (2016). The morbidity viz. suffering from any kind of illness such as cold, cough, fever, vomiting, diarrhea, respiratory infections, ear infections and skin infection etc. was recorded for one month.</p> <p>Material and methods: corrected</p> <p>Since, we did socio-economic survey in our research, we provided the extra information in materials and methods, since this manuscript was not demanded. So, I removed that sentence from methodology.</p> <p>Results: corrected (its not a table, its a menu so, I included in materials and methods)</p> <p>Table 1: we used Z-test for statistical analysis</p> <p>Corrected</p> <p>Conclusion: corrected</p>
Minor REVISION comments	<p>The authors must standardize the word pre-school, because in some places it was together or separed by hyphen.</p> <p>Material and methods "Nutritional status of preschool children was assessed based on anthropometry, clinical examination and Morbidity pattern" Please, put the word "morbidity" in lowercase.</p> <p>MUAC, ICMR first time the words appeared. Please, the authors must indicate the meaning.</p> <p>Reference: Anon., 2010. Why was the reference in abbreviation?</p>	<p>Corrected</p> <p>Corrected</p> <p>Corrected</p> <p>Clinical examination was done by using rapid clinical survey given by Indian council of medical research (ICMR), during 2010, so we added the reference.</p>
Optional/General comments		



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	