



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	European Journal of Nutrition & Food Safety
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJNFS_48436
Title of the Manuscript:	PREVALENCE AND DETERMINANTS OF MALNUTRITION IN UNDER FIVE CHILDREN IN EGUME, KOGI STATE, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1. The research topic should be reviewed to suit the actual study design/area. 2. Faulty selection of study population. 3. Wrong sample size based on formulae 4. Therefore, data collected on faulty study population and wrong sample size can not be reliable for informed decision and or advancement of knowledge based of academic community.	The research topic has been reviewed as reflected in the text Study population and sample size estimation has been addressed appropriately.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	Critical review of the paper in the essential key areas should be undertaken.	Appropriately done

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	