



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	European Journal of Nutrition & Food Safety
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJNFS_48436
Title of the Manuscript:	PREVALENCE AND DETERMINANTS OF MALNUTRITION IN UNDER FIVE CHILDREN IN EGUME, KOGI STATE, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Add analysis of data pertaining to socio-economic variables and nutritional status of children in tabulated form in some more tables and then describe findings. After this discussion and conclusions can be drawn. Without base discussion of findings and conclusions are not academically relevant	Appropriately done, socio-demographic variables of the children can be seen in table 3. Nutritional status of children as already shown in figure 1.
Minor REVISION comments	Check for spaces, grammer , headings and table titles as per comments	Done appropriately.
Optional/General comments	May be published after editing.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	