



SDI EDITORIAL COMMENTS FORM

EDITORIAL COMMENT'S on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to editor's comments
<p>1. Please, consider the recommendation of major revision, to solve the problems raised by the 2 reviewers and the questions raised also in the attached document with comments</p>	<p>Dear editor, Thank you for the comments and suggestions we feel strengthened our manuscript. Please see specific responses below.</p> <p>Page 1, line 20. Agreed. Conclusions in abstract have been altered to suggest future studies to further elucidate the safety of apple pomace.</p> <p>Page 4, line 79. Ethics statement added. Also, attached is the WVU protocol approval for this study.</p> <p>Page 15, line 308. Agreed language should be altered regarding safety and human consumption. However, our study utilized a 10% kcal substitution with apple pomace. This is approximately the same as a substitution of 2-3 large apples, which is an achievable amount for human consumption.</p> <p>Page 15, line 312. Thank you for the suggestion. Line added to suggest future studies on the impacts of processing on apple pomace and undesirable compounds before human feeding studies.</p> <p>Page 17, line 323. Thank you. References updated to include less and more up-to-date citations. However, some older studies that have not been updated by further research are still included as they are relevant to the manuscript and research.</p>