



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International STD Research & Reviews
Manuscript Number:	Ms_I-SRR_48747
Title of the Manuscript:	Prevention of Mother to Child Transmission of Human Immune Deficiency Virus Services (PMTCT) in Public Hospitals; Access barriers and determinants in Enugu State, Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>Thank you for the opportunity to review this manuscript. The manuscripts speaks to a very important and timely topic related to the prevention of HIV/ AIDS from mother-to-child.</p> <p>I have provided suggested revisions throughout the manuscript using track changes and comments.</p> <p>Additional revisions to be made include:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Having a section that highlights the implications of the findings to practice, policy etc. To answer the so what aspect of research and how the findings can inform and guide practice and policy. A section highlighting the weaknesses of the study, as is expected with every study. 	<p>Thanks for your encouragement</p> <p>Noted and addressed</p> <p>This was captured at conclusion and equally improved</p> <p>We tried as much as possible to take care of the limitation. We never deemed it necessary to start recasting the short comings of design method (cross sectional study) as it won't make any difference or add any quality to the work.</p>
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	