



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Advances in Nephrology Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJANR_48637
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of Knowledge, Attitudes and Practices of Nurses Regard Infection Control Program in El-Hawwary Renal Dialysis Centre in Benghazi City, Libya.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	The sample may be a little small	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Thank you very much for your comments. ☺ ▪ The total study population (all nurses in the different shifts) at this dialysis centre (El-hawwary Renal Centre) was only 53 nurses in 2017. It was only the renal dialysis centre in the city, it covers all the patients from the inside and the closets cities to Benghazi city. ▪ However, another very small unit opened recently inside the Benghazi Medical Centre for the renal dialysis that only deals with patients (-ve serology) and in all positive cases referred directly into El-Hawwary renal dialysis centre.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	There was no ethical issues and applying for ethical approval was made in order to collect the data.