



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_49028
Title of the Manuscript:	Detection of adulteration in edible oil using FT-IR spectroscopy and machine learning
Type of the Article	Original research article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Is a good paper Figure 1: It is difficult to distinguish the colors. Even with a color image you can not differentiate strokes. The image must be changed. The same happens in Figures 3, 4, 5 and 6. Table 1: R ² , all figures must have the same decimals. The same for RMSEC.	The colors of figures are quite good and distinguishable and these are these are the original figures. The decimal value of R ² and RMSEC value has been changed accordingly.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	