



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_49298
Title of the Manuscript:	Field fungal diversity in freshly harvested japonica rice
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Author should state the technique used to detect mycotoxin in this study. 2. Author should state the degree of fungal richness and diversity among the four regions. 3. Author should indicate the rice species that are susceptible to mycotoxin and its mechanism of actions. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. We have not detected mycotoxin in this manuscript, we only mentioned some fungi varieties may produce mycotoxin. So we consider it is not necessary to state the technique used to detect mycotoxin in this study. 2. The degree of fungal richness and diversity among the four regions have already been stated through alpha diversity analysis 3. Rice species collected from the four regions have been indicated in the manuscript and highlighted in red font. Some rice species are susceptible to the infection of certain fungi to produce mycotoxin, However, it is still not clear whether these four species are susceptible to mycotoxin. In addition, it is not necessary to indicate the mechanism of its action since we are not intend to focus on this point.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	No.