



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_49298
Title of the Manuscript:	Field fungal diversity in freshly harvested japonica rice
Type of the Article	Original research papers

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The topic should read determination of fungal diversity in freshly harvested rice from japonica province. 2. The abstract is devoid of materials and methods. Please write a brief description on how the experiment was conducted in the abstract.. 3. Line 57 should read sample collection and not materials. 4. After the full stop in line 67, The next statement should come under a subheading thus; processing of rice samples for DNA extraction. 5. There is no method of data analysis in your materials and methods. What statistical tool was used to analyze your results? State it in the materials and methods. 6. There is no experimental design for the research. Please, write out a concise experimental design to enhance understanding of the experimental layout. 7. Figure 3 is too small to read. Please, enlarge the figure to enhance clarity. 8. Make compulsory grammatical corrections in the entire manuscript. 9. Arrange the manuscript according to journal specifications. 	All the corrections mentioned by the reviewer have been corrected
Minor REVISION comments		



SDI Review Form 1.6

Optional/General comments	Good work by Authors.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	