



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_48396
Title of the Manuscript:	Design, characterization and geospatial analysis of physical and socio-economic indicators of anthropogenic pressures on protected areas in Africa
Type of the Article	Method Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page/sdi-general-editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>ABSTRACT: The Keywords are too many. The author(s) should reduce it to five. E.g. Geospatial, anthropogenic pressure, protected areas etc.</p> <p>REFERENCES: The numbering of the references in the manuscript does not show sequence. It should start from 1, 2, 3, 4, etc. and same acknowledged in the reference section. Also, the citations in the reference section do not conformed to the Vancouver system approved by the Science Domain. The correction should be effected accordingly.</p>	<p>We thank the Reviewer and agree with him. We accept to reduce the number of key words to five as suggested</p> <p>However, with regard to the numbering of references, we have clearly used VANCOUVER System from the start to the end of the manuscript. We think that there is therefore no need to revise it. We apologize if we are wrong</p>
Minor REVISION comments	The used of 'we' in some cases of this manuscript should be replaced with 'this study'.	Thank you for the remark, we will go through the text body and revise as suggested
Optional/General comments		

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	