



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_48795
Title of the Manuscript:	Economic Appraisal of Water-Ecosystem in Jammu and Kashmir
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page/sdi-general-editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Abstract should be structured as per authors guideline 2. Auhor(s) should review the author's guidelines and conform to the journal style of citing references in the text. 3. References at the end of the text should be numbered as they appear in the text. 	Thank you very much for reviewing the article, we agree with the reviewers comments and have incorporated all the comments in the text wherever marked by the reviewer.
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Authors should include the location of Jammu and Kashmir in their title. Eg. Economic Appraisal of Water-Ecosystem in Jammu and Kashmir, Nigeria. 2. Line 129: As shown in fig..... fig. what?. Verify. 3. Introduce paragraphs in your text at the appropriate places. 4. Author(s) should review the manuscript for minor grammatical error corrections 	All the comments have been rightly incorporated in the text.
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	