



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

|                          |   |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name:            | <a href="#">International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</a>                                       |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_IJECC_48985  |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Assessing the alternative livelihood options for climate change vulnerable coastal fishing villages in kerala |
| Type of the Article      |   |

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page/sdi-general-editorial-policy>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

|                                     | Reviewer's comment  | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)   |
|-------------------------------------|---|---|
| <b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The paper is not comprehensive in terms of explaining the methodology. Details on the full process should be explained in detail. What is the sampling method? Random sampling or convenient sampling? Have you interviewed 1259 people?</li> <li>2. Why do you compare Poonthura and Elamkunnappuzha villages? The title mentions about villages in Kerala. Why only these two?</li> <li>3. Title starts with "assessing .....". Where is assessment part?</li> </ol>            | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The sampling method and the purpose of the study are clearly indicated under the subheading data collection.</li> <li>2. With respect to the Vulnerability index study conducted by Shyam <i>et al.</i> (2014), the highest vulnerable villages of Kerala are of Poonthura village of Thiruvananthapuram and Elankunnappuzha village of Ernakulam District and hence they selected as the units of study. The majority of the fisher households in both the villages were highly vulnerable to climate change which is a major cause of concern. These are clearly indicated in the Introduction part of the article.</li> <li>3. The assessment of the study is indicated in the article includes assessment of understanding the level of awareness about climate change among the fishermen community, problems faced by coastal communities due to climate change and the methods followed by them to overcome it, ALOs available etc.</li> </ol> |
| <b>Minor</b> REVISION comments      | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The paper contains many syntactical and grammatical errors. The texts should be improved with proofreading. (Line 3, kerala; You should use capital letters here. line 7, line 29, 14.5 or 14.50 millions?, etc.)</li> <li>2. Line 104; Do you use British or American English?</li> <li>3. Line 32; 5, 30,000 this number is not clear.</li> <li>4. Line 112; Please do not place full stop before references.</li> <li>5. Line 115; Why did you use capital letters.</li> </ol> | All the suggested editions have undertaken.   |
| <b>Optional/General</b> comments    |   |   |

**PART 2:**

|  | Reviewer's comment   | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|--|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> |   |