



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Medical and Pharmaceutical Case Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJMPCR_48893
Title of the Manuscript:	Myofascial Pain Syndrome: looked through the lens of 11 cases managed by myofascial trigger point massage therapy, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The abstract should be adjusted and key entities reflected. 2. Table of associated variables of the study where values of percentages were drawn in the discussion section should be created. 3. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Done 2. Done in the Table 2.
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The referencing should be worked on, alongside other revisions. 	1. Completed and cross-checked
Optional/General comments	It is a good one generally, that could form the basis for elaborate study to contribute meaningfully in health care and Public Health intervention, but the revisions should be made before publication.	Thank you very much for your comments which were considered while revising this paper. All changes are highlighted in YELLOW .

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	<p>Oral consent from patients was taken and in case of two infants parents gave oral consent.</p> <p>Once again thank you very much for your constructive comments!</p>