



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Pathogen Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPR_47517
Title of the Manuscript:	Identification, Antagonistic Potentials and Plasmid Profiling of Micro-Organisms Associated with Termitarium and Macerated dead termites from Cashew Trees in Ibule-Soro, Akure Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>-In your title separate the highlighted words</p> <p>-A good abstract must capture at least brief information on methods you used to get your results. So add brief methods to your abstract</p> <p>-Line 29, the highlighted statement is not complete</p> <p>-Line 42, separate the highlighted words and do same to other word that I have highlighted in this manuscript</p> <p>- Line 105, you don't use potato dextrose agar to preserve bacterial isolates</p> <p>-Line 118, were not are</p> <p>- You didn't mention media you use to isolate your organism, Line 61, 66, 70, 73, 80 and 84</p>	<p>Highlighted words from the title have been separated</p> <p>Abstract now captures brief methodology, specifically from line 9 to 11</p> <p>Line 29 is now completed but now in line 32, 33</p> <p>Line 42 words joined together has been separated</p> <p>Line 105 has been corrected, nutrient agar was used to preserve bacterial isolates</p> <p>Media used for isolation of the organisms have been mentioned</p>
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	