



SDI Review Form 1.6

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | International Journal of Pathogen Research |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_IJPR_47548 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | GRAM NEGATIVE BACTERIA ASSOCIATED WITH SPOILAGE OF TOMATOES SOLD AT MARARABA AND MASAKA MARKET IN NEW KARU LOCAL GOVERNMENT IN NASARAWA STATE. |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|-------------------------------------|--|---|
| Compulsory REVISION comments | <p>ABSTRACT: The abstract needs more construction. Abstracts highlight major points of your research and explain why your work is important; what your purpose was , how you went about your project, what you learned, and what you concluded. It is a well-developed paragraph, should be exact in wording, and must be understandable to a wide audience. Abstracts should be no more than 250 words, formatted in Microsoft Word, and single-spaced, using size 12 Times New Roman font.</p> <p>INTRODUCTION: the introduction does not provide sufficient background information for readers not in the immediate field to understand the problem/hypotheses. The introduction is too short. I think the motivations for this study need to be made clearer. What is spoilage? What causes spoilage? I recommend strengthening and clarifying the introduction</p> <p>MATERIALS AND METHODS: The resulting tomato paste were first sieved to remove lumps and then subjected to tenfold serial dilution. Explain this statement</p> <p>RESULTS: I think additional experiments are necessary to validate the results presented here</p> | <p>I followed the manuscript guideline for presenting abstract.</p> <p>Corrections have been made</p> <p>To avoid excessive sediment during culture so the tomatoes lumps were sieved.</p> <p>Correction has been made. Thank you very much</p> |
| Minor REVISION comments | How was the mortar and pestle sterilized? | I used the mortar pestle made with glass that can be autoclaved. Thank you very much |
| Optional/General comments | Generally, this article needs more construction. Follow the guidelines given on the journal website | Guidelines followed. Thank you very much |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p> <p>No</p> | |
| Are there competing interest issues in this manuscript? | No | |