



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Pathogen Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPR_47548
Title of the Manuscript:	GRAM NEGATIVE BACTERIA ASSOCIATED WITH SPOILAGE OF TOMATOES SOLD AT MARARABA AND MASAKA MARKET IN NEW KARU LOCAL GOVERNMENT IN NASARAWA STATE.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The gram reaction carried was out was short circuited 2. There is no clear enough biochemical analysis carried out that will warrant the identification of the isolates.....very shallow 3. Biochemical is not even enough with the advent of molecular characterization 4. There is no evidence of discussion talk less of seeing comparison of this work with other research works 5. What are the country standard regulatory bodies (NAFDAC AND SON) saying about the level of these microorganisms in such food product? 6. Microorganisms of health concern here would have been mesophilic aerobes, indicator organisms, some pathogenic microorganism like <i>Salmonella</i> sp etc. You see the author could not touch any of this. 7. Conclusion did n't bring out the achievements of the work 8. The dept of the research is shallow 9. There is no contribution to knowledge from this research 	All corrections have been made. Thank you very much
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	Check title of the work	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	