



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Pathogen Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPR_48277
Title of the Manuscript:	The Prevalence of Group A Streptococcus as a Re-Emerging Microorganism in Port Harcourt Metropolis
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The ABSTRACT should be divided into subsections according to the style of the journal.</p> <p>There are 2 portions of the REFERENCE list at the end of the manuscript, this is strange and must be corrected.</p> <p>If the authors intend to use the references in RED as part of the manuscript then they must be properly integrated into the text and REFERENCE list at the end of the manuscript.</p> <p>THE REFERENCE LIST MUST BE REPAIRED AND WRITTEN ACCORDING TO THE STYLE OF THE JOURNAL.</p>	Inclusion of the references in red was done in error. We regret any inconveniences this might have caused you. The necessary corrections have been made.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	REFERENCE list at end of manuscript must be corrected to match style of the journal	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.



SDI Review Form 1.6

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	Ethical approval was given by the ethics committee in University of Port Harcourt Teaching hospital , Port Harcourt Nigeria before samples were taken from the patients.