



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_32836
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluating African rice ( <i>Oryza sativa</i> ) genotype yield increase through mycorrhizal fungi and rhizobium inoculation
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p><b>Title</b> : rewrite.</p> <p><b>Abstract</b> -Abstract is an attempt to summarize the total work done,so need not to be required to mention the section heading like aims,study design and so on...rewrite the English properly like A total of 50 plans were raised instead of <b>planted</b>...</p> <p><b>Introduction</b> : Check the English. <b>Should</b> be written in a proper way following the norms to meet the standard of the journal –please write the full form of N/Ha/year. Please use the text citation in the correct form</p> <p><b>Materials and methods</b> The heading “Material and Methods” should be changed to “Materials and Methods”. -Rewrite and check spelling and grammar. Write down the methodology in a proper scientific way. It is too much elaborated.</p> <p>Results and discussion Heading of the table like “Pre-plant soil physico-chemical properties “ should be changed.It should be physico-chemical properties of soil before plantation.... In many tables like(<b>Table 3</b>. Effects of Mycorrhizae and Rhizobium inoculation on yield and yield components of rice) did not mention the particular genotype. - Overall results are not so clear. Please more concentrate on the discussion part. Check grammatical mistakes.</p> <p><b>Reference</b> Please check the format of the reference.</p>	<p>Title corrected</p> <p>Abstract corrected</p> <p>Grammar corrected</p> <p>Methodology corrected. Line 64</p> <p>Corrected. Line 104</p> <p>Discussions corrected. Corrections highlighted in yellow colour.</p>



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments	<p>This study is scientific, but the write-up is poor, sometimes the link of the sentences related to study has been lost, the manuscript, (especially the Introduction, Materials and methods and discussion) is lacking the standard norms of the journal.</p> <p>Results and Discussion: State the results more clearly Emphasise should be given to the discussion part.</p>	Corrected. Corrections highlighted in yellow colour.
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments	<p>The Manuscript may be accepted after major revision (abstract, Introduction, Materials and Methods, Results and Discussion);</p>	