



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_32836
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluating African rice (<i>Oryza sativa</i>) genotype yield increase through mycorrhizal fungi and rhizobium inoculation
New Title	Yield Response of African rice genotypes to mycorrhizal fungi and rhizobium inoculation
Type of Article:	Original Research Article

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
<p>There are still some corrections to do in the manuscript. Authors should not put the word "mean" in the graphics, like mean no of grains/panicle, but only "grains/panicle, number". As there is no interactions in the variables plant height, number of panicle, number of filled and unfilled spikelet, grain yield and 1000 grain weight THEREFORE AUTHORS SHOULD SHOW THESE RESULTS ONLY IN THE TABEL 3, THERE IS NO NEED TO SHOW THESE DATA IN FIGURES. HOWEVER THEY HAVE TO INCLUDE VALUES FOR EACH OF THESE VARIABLES FOR EACH GENOTYPE. As these are significant interaction among genotypes and microorganism for number of grains per panicle, primary tillers, and number of days to 50% flowering, ONLY FOR THESE VARIABLES AUTHORS SHOULD SHOW DATA IN GRAPHICS. Authors should start results of each variable informing it there is or no interactions between microorganism and genotypes. If there is, authors should forget single effects and show the data and discuss ONLY the interaction. If there is not, authors should show the data and discuss singles effects and forget the interaction, not show figures with both factors together.</p>	<p><i>Authors strongly disagree with the final reviewers comment.</i></p> <p><i>The reviewers have only wasted my valuable and precious time.</i></p> <p><i>Authors have carried out all necessary corrections earlier observed by the reviewers.</i></p> <p><i>We highlighted in (yellow colour) all the corrections in the manuscript previously submitted.</i></p> <p><i>All necessary corrections have been done already.</i></p> <p><i>What the reviewers stated here is far-fetched and insignificant.</i></p> <p><i>The discussion and conclusion section of the manuscript has taken care of the points noted by the reviewer.</i></p>