



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_45691
Title of the Manuscript:	Plant –plant Interaction Strategy for Managing Parthenium hysterophorus L
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The title should be clearly stated and relevant with the methods/ approaches and treatment used for data generation. The objective is not clear and is not written clearly in the introduction. The experimental design and procedure is not well thought out materials and methods.</p> <p>In the analysis of the results and discussion, the results are not properly presented and arranged in a logical sequence. Write the conclusion in a clear and simple language by giving the degree of concentration of the recommended plants in managing parthenium.</p> <p>Overall, the English writing is very poor. Consult author guidelines for references in the text as well as in the reference section.</p>	<p>The title has been revised and changed to reflect the methodology used in the study as well as the objectives. The title now reads as "Investigation of the allelopathic effects of selected plants species for management of <i>P. hysterophorus</i>».</p> <p>Objective(s) are now clearly stated as presented in the manuscript. Experimental design is well detailed and clear. All changes are highlighted with yellow color.</p> <p>Results are well arranged in good and logical sequence</p> <p>Conclusion has been re-written.</p> <p>English is improved References are well arranged</p>
Minor REVISION comments	Please confirm the information mentioned in the reviewer pane.	
Optional/General comments	<p>Present the data obtained and discuss them with a logical sequence with appropriate research title.</p> <p>Overall if the manuscript is to be well-written after the correction with improve scientific quality; research results will be useful for parthenium management.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	