



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_48494
Title of the Manuscript:	Differential biomass accumulation among African leafy vegetables as affected by wastewater irrigation in Kutui county, Kenya
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Introduction</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Page no. 1 line no. 31 write constraints on instead of constraints of. - Page no.1 line no. 33 write well on instead of well in. - Page no. 1 line no. 35 write needed instead of need. - Page no. 2 line no. 37 write scarcity of instead of scarcity in. - Page no. 2 line no. 41 write Wastewater uses instead of Wastewater use. - Page no. 2 line no. 47 write increasing to the increasing instead of increasing with_the increasing. -Page no. 2 line no. 50 write way of the water instead of way into_the water. -Page no. 2 line no. 51 write contains instead of contain. -Page no. 3 line no 62 write affect instead of affected. -Page no. 3 line no 63 write needing instead of need. <p>Materials and Methods</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Page no. 3 line no 77 write seasons in instead of seasons from. -Page no. 4 line no 87 write plot instead of plots. <p>RESULTS AND DISCUSSION</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Page no. 5 line no 111 write growth of instead of growth in. -Page no. 5 line no 112 write tolerant of instead of tolerant to, write that are instead of that is. -Page no. 5 line no 112 write potential for instead of potential of. -Page no. 6 line no 120 write concentration of instead of concentration for. -Page no. 7 line no 143 write increase in instead of increase of. -Page no. 7 line no 153 write superior to instead of superior with. -Page no. 7 line no 157 write superior to instead of superior with. -Page no. 8line no 175, 176 write of interfering instead of for interfere. -Page no. 9 line no 199 write transformed from yield instead of transformed in_yield. - Page no. 9 line no 200 write supplied to this study instead of supplied in. - Page no. 9 line no 201 write growth of instead of growth for. - Page no. 10 line no 204 write agree instead of agrees, write ratios of instead of ratios in. 	<p>Agreed</p> <p>Agreed Let it remain that way Let it remain that way</p> <p>Agreed Agreed</p> <p>Agreed Agreed Agreed</p> <p>Let it remain that way</p> <p>Agreed</p> <p>Agreed</p> <p>Agreed Agreed</p> <p>Agreed Agreed Agreed Agreed Let it remain that way Let it remain that way Agreed Agreed</p> <p>Agreed Agreed Agreed Agreed</p>
Minor REVISION comments	X	
Optional/General comments		



PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	There were no ethical issues in this manuscript