



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_48681
Title of the Manuscript:	Mechanical Damage in the Tillering, Development and Productivity of Wheat
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>In this paper, author(s) have investigated/examined the impacts of mechanical damages caused by kneading and cutting at different induction times on the tillering, development and productivity of wheat.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The study is interesting and manuscript is almost structured properly, but for the numerous grammatical errors and poor sentence construction. • Suggestions/amendments have been tracked in the manuscript. • Statement on Lines 233 and 234 under the CONCLUSION are not acceptable as yet. Author(s) should make statements alluding the study to an integrated crop-livestock system. 	Authors agree with reviewer and corrected the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. Congratulation to the reviewer, excellent review.
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Author(s) should declare if there are competing interests. • Author(s) should indicate the contributions made by each author. 	Was done.
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	There aren't ethical issues in this manuscript.