SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_IJTDH_47886**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Antibiotic Susceptibility Profile of *Staphylococcus aureus* Isolated from Clinical Samples in Nasarawa Town, Nasarawa State, Nigeria**
Type of the Article	**Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	1. SAMPLE SIZE IS VERY SMALL TO CONFIRM THE OBJECTIVE PROFILE. IT SHOULD ATLEAST 50 SAMPLES 2. THE AUTHOR SHOULD CORRECT THE SPELLING MISTAKES THROUGOUT THE MANUSCRIPT. 3. THE AUTHOUR CLEARLY MENTION THAT ON WHAT BASIS THESE PARTICULAR ANTIBIOTICS AND CONCENTRATIONS WERE SELECTED?	1. During the period in which the study was conducted, only 14 samples were obtained probably because the hospital was not big and it does not serve as a referral centre where one could get many samples from patients with suspected *Staphylococcus aureus* infections. 2. The spelling mistakes in the manuscript have been corrected 3. The antibiotics were selected based on the recommendations of the Clinical Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) 2012 and it was stated in the manuscript.
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	