



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Neuropsychiatric Disease Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_INDJ_48559
Title of the Manuscript:	PREVALENCE OF INTESTINAL PARASITOSIS AMONG MENTALLY ILL PERSONS IN NEUROPSYCHIATRIC HOSPITAL, CALABAR, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Please address the possibility that infection with parasites may have come from institutional food in psychiatric hospitals and/or may be the result of poverty, a condition intimately linked with mental illness.</p> <p>Please do not refer to patients as "mental" or as "mental cases". Please do not refer to general population study participants as "normal"</p> <p>Was treatment attempted?</p>	<p>The possibility that infection with parasites may have come from institutional food in psychiatric hospitals and/or may be the result of poverty, a condition intimately linked with mental illness has been addressed.</p> <p>The 'mental subjects' or 'cases' has been changed to 'patients'. The word 'normal' for general population study participants has been changed to 'apparently healthy'</p> <p>Recommendation was made to the health care providers of the hospital for antiparasitic treatment of the parasites positive cases.</p>
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	The gut-brain connection is increasingly being investigated so this is a timely, well written paper.	Thank you.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	