



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Neuropsychiatric Disease Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_INDJ_48559
Title of the Manuscript:	PREVALENCE OF INTESTINAL PARASITOSIS AMONG MENTALLY ILL PERSONS IN NEUROPSYCHIATRIC HOSPITAL, CALABAR, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The real relationship between parasites and mental diseases is well presented, however, more detail should be given, about the nature of the parasites and the way to acquire them. Faeces cat contact is the most common way to get <i>Toxoplasma gondii</i> in children and that might be mentioned. Also <i>Taenia solium</i>, <i>Naegleria fowlen</i> are very briefly commented, but further explanation about how they are acquired, their symptoms, etc. would be recommended to add.</p> <p>The sample include 246 persons, however, no distinction between genders are detailed, how many men, women, with mental disease, without it.</p>	<p>Giving explanation about the nature of the parasites and the way to acquire them and their symptoms, etc. is necessary, hence correction is effected but not too detail to avoid making this work too voluminous.</p> <p>.....a total of 126 (91 males/35 females) patients of the hospital who complied and 120 (57 males/64 females) apparently healthy subjects from the general population.....</p>
Minor REVISION comments	Abstract, Aim: it is written "their medicare" and it should be written "their medical care".	Correction effected.
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here). The authors have agreed with the reviewer, hence have effected the necessary corrections based on the reviewer's comments.
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	There are no ethical issues in this manuscript.