

**Editorial Comment:**

1 - No detailed information's about the case, mainly related to the treatment and follow-up were presented.

2 - No improvement in Discussion related to the case was included. The information's of the literature and pathophysiology were superficial and short. No comparative discussion of the literature and the present case were brought up.

3 - No authors personal contribution based on their experience was added.

**Author Feedback:**

I mentioned all details about patient .

I gave details about radiologic technics and differences between them

I tried to mention that the MR technic was superior than USG and CT