



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Research Journal of Oncology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IRJO_48241
Title of the Manuscript:	Value of Telomere Length in Peripheral Blood Leucocyte as a Prognostic Marker for Breast Cancer
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p><b>Remove the abbreviations. State them where they are first used in the manuscripts.</b></p> <p><b>What stages were the cancer stages? Are they stages 0 – IIc as seen in the abstract or from stage 1 – IIc as seen in the methods? They are both highlighted in red. Revised.</b></p> <p><b>Revise this reference' WHO 2012 (Lakhani S et al 2012) [9],"</b></p> <p>The tables for the results are seen in the manuscript. Not until we see them we can tell the state of the P=values.</p> <p>Check SDI authors' guideline for approved way of reporting P- value.</p> <p>Revise some of the references they are not in accordance with SDI referencing style.</p>	<p>Dear Sir</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1- We removed the abbreviation we put inside manuscript</li> <li>2- The information in the abstract was wrong and we corrected it</li> <li>3- We write the results inside the manuscript</li> <li>4- We checked reference number 9 and we put it correctly</li> <li>5- We corrected the p value and references according to the author guidelines</li> </ol>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Attend to the raised issues and fix the grammatical errors.	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	