



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Research Journal of Oncology</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IRJO_48241</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Value of Telomere Length in Peripheral Blood Leucocyte as a Prognostic Marker for Breast Cancer</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	The topic is interesting. However the manuscript is not clearly written, nor easy for the reader to understand. There are data missing. For example in the abstract the authors mention : "Results: Our results showed the mean length of telomere was $32 \pm ?$ ," There are many syntactic and grammatical mistakes. The sample size is very small. For example there were only 4 cases of grade I and two cases of stage I. Have the authors check the power of the study? The tables and the figures mentioned are not available to check.	We put the figures and tables inside the paper and we put the results and the grades numbers was wrong but in Egypt usually patients don't present in stage one even if we increased the numbers we will find small number presented with stage one We corrected the length of telomere it was by mistake deleted
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments		
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	