



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Research Journal of Oncology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IRJO_48935
Title of the Manuscript:	Breast Cancer Molecular Subtypes in Relation to Age, Stage and Grade among Sudanese Women Patients in Khartoum Oncology Hospital (2013 – 2017)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1)The manuscript needs proofreading, the English used is approximate. 2) Introduction: it lacks the aim the study is aimed at. 3) Materials: it is not described what parameters are kept into account as to concern the Stat used, in short the manuscript lacks the stat methodology . 4) tables and legends go to the end of the manuscript	1) for manuscript was performed the English revised 2)The aim of the study was added to introduction 3)the methodology was revised written in details and the parameters was described 4)Table and legends went at the end of manuscript
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		The manuscript was reviewed and revise(data ,grammar and orthography were reviewed and revised),
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		The result was organized and the discussion was edited and revised and more points and details was added

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	No Ethical issues.