



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_48381
Title of the Manuscript:	Acid Phosphatases from the Breadfruit ArtocarpuscommunisSeeds as Novel Plant Phosphorylating Biocatalysts
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	This paper deals with the Acid Phosphatases as a Biocatalysts , it is important for the catalyst studies from natural substances, so I support it to be published in the journal after minor revision. 1,some words are linked together ,please check them all over the paper; 2,AP1 and AP2 may be the phosphate with one and two hydrogens, it better to gives all the molecular formula, and then labeled with AP! AP2; 3,how to measure the ransfer rate? 4,the equation must written saparately not mixed with text, aand by equation editor;	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Linked words have been separated; ➤ AP1 and AP2 are simply names given by authors to the two purified phosphatases; ➤ Tranfer rate was measure according the equation in the manuscript; ➤ The equation have been written separately as suggest by the reviewer.
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	