SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_JABB_48434**
Title of the Manuscript:	**MICRO PROPAGATION OF *Pterocarpussantalinoides* USING THREE DIFFERENT GROWTH MEDIA**
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**The discussion needs recent references**	References cited
Minor REVISION comments	It would be great to enrich the discussion part with references dealing with nodal stem cuttings. Please cite this reference dealing with tissue culture using nodal stem cutting as explant: Abu-Romman, S. M., Al-Hadid, K. A., & Arabiyyat, A. R. (2015). Kinetin is the most effective cytokinin on shoot multiplication from cucumber. *Journal of Agricultural Science*, *7*(10), 159.	Done
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	