



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Advances in Biology &amp; Biotechnology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_48453
Title of the Manuscript:	Experimental design for optimization of $\beta$ -Xylosidase production by <i>A. fumigatus</i> isolated from the Atlantic Forest (Brazil).
Type of the Article	

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p><b>Abstract</b> – Give duration of study</p> <p><b>Introduction, methods, discussion</b> - The authors used in references given in brackets need to be numbered sequentially in square bracket like [1], [2] ----- same to be mentioned in reference section.</p> <p><b>Results</b> – You can give either table or graph, try to avoid repetitions</p> <p><b>References</b> – the authors numbered in introduction, methods and discussion need to be given from 1 to ----- sequentially.</p>	<p>Thank you for your suggestions</p> <p>All are modified</p>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	A broad study	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	