



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Advances in Biology &amp; Biotechnology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_49180
Title of the Manuscript:	Effects of calcium chloride treatment on the photosynthetic capacity and intensity of banana fruit during ripening
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The work is well done and statistical analysis is also performed well. Explanation is satisfactory.</li> <li>2. But the language and the way of description needs betterment.</li> <li>3. Para 3 in section 3.1- reconstruction of the sentence needed</li> </ol>	The manuscript has corrected accordingly
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Line 5,6,7,8 ,11 in abstract section- grammatical / typographical error</li> <li>2. Line-24, 31 in Introduction section- grammatical / typographical error</li> <li>3. Line- 7, 8 in section 2.1- grammatical / typographical error</li> <li>4. section 2.2- heading- grammatical / typographical error</li> <li>5. line 3,4 in 2.2.1.- grammatical / typographical error</li> <li>6. line 1, 5 in section 2. 2.2- Sentence reconstruction</li> <li>7. line 1 in section 2.3.- typographical/ grammatical error</li> <li>8. line 3,7 in 2.3- grammatical error</li> <li>9. line 2,3,4 in section 2.3.2- grammatical or typographical error</li> <li>10. line 5 in para 5 in section 3.1- grammatical or typographical error</li> <li>11. line 1 in para 1 of section 3.2.1- typographical error</li> <li>12. line 2 in para 2 of section 3.2.1-typographical grammatical error</li> <li>13. Line 1, 3 in para 1 of section 3.2.3- typographical grammatical error</li> </ol>	All remarks have been taken into consideration
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Work is well organized. But the writing style has to be improved and must take care to avoid typographical errors.</li> </ol>	Considerable care has been taken



**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer’s comment</b>	<b>Author’s comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	