



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Agriculture and Ecology Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAERI_47993
Title of the Manuscript:	IDENTIFICATION AND MANAGEMENT OF PESTS AND DISEASES OF GARDEN CROPS IN SANTA, CAMEROON
Type of the Article	<u>Original Research Article</u>

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	I like this important topic, that is addressed by the authors of this manuscript. However, I cannot accept it for publication, since it lacks of statistical support. Authors should change that I have suggested, in order to be improved and accepted for publication.	Thanks for the contribution. This work sought just to identify the different pests and diseases that hinder proper growth and development of garden crops in this area. A lot of pesticides are used to fight against pests and diseases in this locality but these pests and diseases have not been identified, so this work aimed at identifying the pests without counts. Also it looked at how gardeners managed these pests.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	No ethical issues in this manuscript