



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Agriculture and Ecology Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAERI_48054
Title of the Manuscript:	Tree Species Composition and Diversity of Ipinu-Igede Sacred Forest in Oju Local Government Area of Benue State, Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sd-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Maingi and Marsh (2006) and Adam <i>et al.</i> (2007) used the relative basal area in order to calculate the IVI, then it's important to include it [Importance Value Index (IVI) = relative frequency + relative density + relative basal area]. Check the general formatting of the manuscript such as line spacing.	While I agree with the submission of the reviewer, you can as well calculate without the basal area. The general formatting checked
Minor REVISION comments	It is recommended: -Don't use words presented at the title as a key word. -Include the accuracy of the sampling. -Use the captions outside the figures. -If you to use Hill numbers to characterize the species diversity your paper will be more current and robust [CHAO, A. et al. Rarefaction and extrapolation with Hill numbers: a framework for sampling and estimation in species diversity studies. Ecological Monographs, v.84, n.1, p.45-67, 2014].	- such words used in title are removed from key word -all other comments noted and addressed
Optional/General comments	In the discussion: at the last paragraph, it's mentioned "The horizontal and vertical structures of the forest as revealed..." I believe you used the term "vertical" by force of habit, because I haven't found none vertical structure analysis, if this is the case just remove it.	_ the vertical aspect removed

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	