



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Agriculture and Ecology Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAERI_49182
Title of the Manuscript:	INFLUENCE OF ORGANIC AND INORGANIC SOIL AMENDMENTS ON SOIL pH AND MACRONUTRIENTS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>remove redundancies in abstract and keep it at 250 words maximum.</p> <p>line 10/108/109: wrong spelling</p> <p>line 77/78/88/91/96/94 etc: separate numbers from their units of measurements</p> <p>line 95: 1800 mL</p> <p>line 103: 50 g</p> <p>line 109/149/152/189/181/216/218/251/274/305: do not italicize citation.</p>	<p>Remove : Brassicae tissue +super hydrogrow polymer (BT+SHG) amendment was the most superior as it resulted to highest concentration and availability of the mineral elements</p> <p>Corrected: Analyzer analysis</p> <p>Corrected: 66 m by 28.5 m 2.4 m x3.75 m 3969 g per 2.4 m x3.75 m 1800 ml in 9 L 1800 ml 0.05 % 0.13 % 0.62 % and 0.72 % 1.43 % 3.28 % 2.01 % 5.47 % 0.20 % 4.3 % 1.4 % 0.50 % 5.47 % 5 % 0.40 % 0.13 % 0.18 %</p> <p>corrected 1800 ml</p> <p>Changed to 50 g</p> <p>corrected Moonrungsee et al. Goyal et al. [13] Loper et al. [14]. Álvarez et al. Abujabhah et al. [17] Doan et al. [21], Albiach et al. [26], Goyal et al. [28]</p>



SDI Review Form 1.6

	<p>line 127: replace with "stimulated"</p> <p>line 144-147, 176-179, 211-214, 239-243, 268-272, 294-298: the yellow coloured descriptions should be written as footnotes.</p> <p>line 184: recast sentence</p> <p>line 195: be consistent "use letters or numbers"</p> <p>line 216-221: rephrase the paragraph</p> <p>line 226/228: do not use ppm it is informal. "use mg/L or mg/Kg"</p> <p>line 246/277/280: remove comma</p>	<p>García-Sánchez et al. [31] Peltre et al. [19]</p> <p>Replaced Stimulated</p> <p>Move to footnotes:</p> <p>BT-Brassicae Tissue, BT+OP -Brassica tissue+Orange peel, BT+SHG-Brassicae Tissue+ Super-hydro-grow polymer, CM- Chalim™, CM+OP-Chalim™+ Orange peel, CM+SHG- Chalim™+ Super-hydro-grow polymer,MS- Metham sodium, Ms+OP- Metham sodium+ Orange peel, MS+SHG- Metham sodium+ Super-hydro-grow polymer</p> <p>Done</p> <p>Corrected (all were changed to letter) season 1 season 2 1st season 2nd season</p> <p>Also it is an implication that, the application of amendment led to improvement of the soils condition by providing a more conducive environment for the microorganisms that facilitates modification of the soil structure.</p> <p>Corrected (all were changed to mg/Kg mg/kg</p> <p>Comma removed: Larney and Angers [27] Akrawi [30] Steiner [29] Also it</p> <p>increment in soil phosphorus</p>
--	--	--



SDI Review Form 1.6

	<p>line 248: something is missing?</p> <p>line 354: remove p</p> <p>line 356: do not abbreviate. be consistent</p> <p>line 402: was it sourced online? plz provide link or add publisher</p> <p>line 426: not italized?</p>	<p>P removed</p> <p>Removed</p> <p>(SOCS)</p> <p>written in full: Pak. J. Soil Sci., Pakistan Journal of Soil Science</p> <p>http://www.fao.org/3/a-br958e.pdf</p> <p>DOI: https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.111.175448 34-438</p> <p>Changed to: <i>Iraqi Journal of Agricultural Sciences</i></p>
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></u>	