



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Agriculture and Ecology Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAERI_49182
Title of the Manuscript:	INFLUENCE OF ORGANIC AND INORGANIC SOIL AMENDMENTS ON SOIL pH AND MACRONUTRIENTS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)





SDI Review Form 1.6

	<p><b>Methods</b></p> <p><b>2. 3. Preparation of soil amendments:</b> This section should be reviewed. Specify in a clear logical manner how each named treatment was prepared.</p>	<p>The experiment was carried out between July, 2017- September, 2017 and between November, 2017- January, 2018 and was replicated three times for the two seasons.</p> <p>Super-hydro-grow polymer was applied in 12 plots of 2.4 m x 3.75 m at the rate of 200 ml/m<sup>2</sup> using knock- sprayer. Combination of Chalim™ + Super-hydro-grow polymer was applied at the rate of 227.81 g per 2.4 m x3.75 m plot (250 kg/ha) and 2.4 m x 3.75 m at the rate of 200 ml/m<sup>2</sup> respectively. Metham sodium + Super-hydro-grow polymer was applied in in a 2.4 m x 3.75 m plot at the rate of 200 ml/m<sup>2</sup> and 3969 g per 2.4 m x3.75 m plot (4355.56 kg/ha). Metham sodium +Orange peel treatment was applied in a 2.4 m x 3.75 m at the rate of 200 ml/m<sup>2</sup> and Orange peel rate of 3969 g per 2.4 m x3.75 m plot (4355.56 kg/ha). Brassica tissue + Orange peel treatment were applied at a rate of 3969 g per 2.4 m x3.75 m plot (4355.56 kg/ha) and Orange peel at a rate of 3969 g per 2.4 m x3.75 m plot (4355.56 kg/ha) respectively. Pre-determined concentrations of all the amendment were applied per furrow and the crop of interest planted.</p> <p>that use of plant-based corrected to</p> <p>That the use of plant-based.....</p> <p>those changed to- those of</p> <p>will consequently produce better yield.</p>
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p>	<p><b>RESULTS AND DISCUSSION:</b> For clarity, 1. All results should be presented first before discussion 2. Results for each season should be presented separately. <b>OTHERS:</b> See comments on manuscript</p>	<p>Correction done: results should be presented first before discussion-done</p> <p>Results for each season are presented separately using different colour from the graph</p>
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	