



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Applied Life Sciences International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JALSI_48748
Title of the Manuscript:	Nitrogen Doses And Humic Substances In Custard Apple Nutrition
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Figure 2. PH values (CaCl <sub>2</sub> , A) and aluminum (B), magnesium (C), calcium (D), phosphorus (E) and boron (F) values of soil cultivated with custard apple as a function of nitrogen doses  Figure 3. Calcium (A) and zinc (B) content in custard apple leaf as a function of nitrogen doses, Anagé-BA, 2017  are not clear, not readable;The author need to improve them	I modified the cards, see if it got better
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	As shown in manuscript	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The manuscript may be accepted for publication after addressing the compulsory comments	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	