



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Microbiology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMB_48118
Title of the Manuscript:	A study of the microflora of air environment of rooms sprayed with different aerosols
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>In this paper authors investigating the effect of the aerosols on the types of microflora in the room environment when microflora of rooms sprayed with different insecticides and air freshners. Eight (8) different samples of chemical aerosols were used they are: Mobile insecticide, Raid multipurpose insect killer, Morten Insecticide, Rambo Insecticide. as categorized as Insecticides, while Febreze, Air wick, Glade and Top breeze were purchase as air fresheners/fragrance and eight (8) different rooms were used.</p> <p>The result of eight different rooms sprayed with different aerosol as Insecticide and air fresheners showed that, some aerosols were able to inhibit some organisms that were initially present in some rooms while there were introduction of another organisms from some aerosols into some rooms. The occurrence of Staphylococcus aureus (100%) was the highest in all the rooms followed by <i>Aspergillus niger</i> (87.5) and <i>A. flavus</i> (75%). <i>Lactobacillus jensenii</i>, <i>Bacillus coagulans</i> and <i>micrococcus spp</i> had the lowest frequency of occurrence (12.5%).</p> <p>The study is found interesting and manuscript is almost structured properly and <i>linguistically refined</i>.</p> <p>Following corrections / modifications are required to be done:</p> <p>Page 5 Line 101: MATERIALS AND METHODS is to be replaced as MATERIALS AND METHODOLOGY Page 8, Line 177: RESULT is to be replaced as RESULTS Page 16: CONCLUSION is <i>to be re-written point wise on outcome based</i>.</p>	<p>Page 5 Line 101: MATERIALS AND METHODS is now replaced as MATERIALS AND METHODOLOGY</p> <p>Page 8, Line 177: RESULT has been replaced as RESULTS CONCLUSION has been re-written</p>
Optional/General comments	<p>The manuscript is recommended for publication after incorporating above suggestion / comments.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	