
SCIENCEDOMAIN international





SDI EDITORIAL COMMENTS FORM

EDITORIAL COMMENT'S on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to editor's comments
I think that there are some technical/scientific issues that still need to be resolved.	
The issue on IgM and IgG was not clearly resolved, which is what I think one of the Reviewers wanted to point out with the comment that seroprevalence does not always equate to infection. IgM is an indication of acute infection, while IgG may be present even after an infection has been resolved.	This study was a prevalence study. So IgM or IgG indicate that the person has been exposed to Toxoplama infection during the current pregnancy or in a previous one. However I have corrected the sentence that was confusing on line 225-227, 232-233, 235
This and some other minor issues still need to be clarified in the manuscript.	

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.5 (4th August, 2012)