



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Advances in Mathematics and Computer Science</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JAMCS_47041</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Aperture Maximization with Half-Wavelength Spacing, via a 2-Circle Concentric Array Geometry that is Uniform but Sparse</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	The paper addressed a complex problem of DF using concentric circular array with a spacing of half wavelength. The content of the paper is suitable and sufficient. The results are sufficient for the claims made by the authors in terms of novelty and robustness of the technique. However, the following are some comments. 1. Why the references are cited randomly? 2. Proper reference to be given to the formulation of the circular array array factor.	1) The references have now been arranged numerically. 2) The reference for the UCA array manifold vector has already been provided in [1], [10], [33]-[35].
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	1. The title is like a conversion and does not suit much to the journal.	Thank you for this observation. The title is within the scope of the journal in the areas of Statistics, Applied Mathematics, and Engineering.

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	No ethical issues in this manuscript.



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

---