



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Advances in Mathematics and Computer Science</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JAMCS_48925</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Road Network Pre-partitioning Method with Priority for Congestion Control</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>The paper provides substantial work and work done is relevant. However, real time data is presented or not it is no clear. So clarify data source, sample time duration in which congestion is analysed, such input parameter details are missing. Conclusion and abstract is weak, so it can be strengthened</b>	agreed with reviewer, and already corrected the manuscript and highlighted the part in the manuscript.
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Overall structure of paper can be better and more details of CNN-SVM expected	agreed with reviewer, and already corrected the manuscript and highlighted the part in the manuscript.
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Overall work done is in good direction and based on latest mechanisms	agree

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	There is no ethical issues in this manuscript