



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Mathematics and Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMCS_49186
Title of the Manuscript:	Logical Design of n-bit Comparators: Pedagogical Insight from Eight-Variable Karnaugh Maps
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The suggestion is to include the appendices into the main text and to reduce the number of references.	Thank you very much. Delegating some material into appendices is giving the reader the choice to read this material or skip it. Reduction of the number of references is detrimental since the paper has a strong stress on being a tutorial and pedagogical exposition. Therefore, we feel that the suggested changes do not enhance readability of the paper.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	The paper is a valuable tutorial about Karnaugh map. The style is well understandable, enjoyable, the Figures are clear.	Thank you very much.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	