



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Advances in Mathematics and Computer Science</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMCS_49250
Title of the Manuscript:	Adaptive Variable Weight Accumulation AVWA-DGM(1,1) Model Based on Particle Swarm Optimization
Type of the Article	Method Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.  
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	1 Abbreviations must be explained, such as DGM, GMP (1, 1, N). 2 MAPE in eq.(17) and APE in eq.(18) is wrong in italic. 3 In Table 9, 年份 and 真实值 are wrong 4 For References, [C], [J], [D] must be deleted.	Dear Reviewer, We would like to express our thankfulness for your much constructive and valuable advices. We have revised the paper following your comments and suggestions. The changes made in the revision appear in yellow, and the answers to your comments are listed as follows. <input type="checkbox"/> Following your suggestion, we have already included this part in the manuscript. <input type="checkbox"/> For this deficiency, we have corrected the italic formula, please refer to the yellow part of the manuscript. <input type="checkbox"/> Thank you for your carefully read and detailed comments , When we saw this amendment, we attached great importance to this opinion. For your comments, we have translated Chinese characters into English. <input type="checkbox"/> Thank you for reading carefully and making such valuable comments for my work. I was apologetic because of the negligence of the word spelling mistakes, and we corrected it in time.
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments		Finally, we have thoroughly revised the paper in order to present a more clear and concise manuscript. We hope these corrections will meet with approval. Once again, thank you very much for your comments.  With Best Regards.  Lang Yu & Co-authors.
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments		



**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<u><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></u>	