



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_44402
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of Awareness of Susceptibility to Human Papilloma Virus and Cervical Cancer Screening among Nurses at University of Benin Teaching Hospital, Benin City, Nigeria.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>53- second most frequent cancer in Nigeria!! Reference ???!</p> <p>Table 2: You did not talk about tobacco smoking, long-term hormonal contraceptive use, multiple sexual partners co-infection with <i>Chlamydia trachomatis</i>, herpes simplex virus type 2, HIV, immunosuppression, certain dietary deficiencies + you should talk about the Knowledge of cervical cancer !!! how those nurses define the cervical cancer ?</p> <p>Knowledge of uses of Pap smear and meaning of positive Pap smear result ?</p> <p>What is their Sources of information about cervical cancer ?</p> <p>Reasons for not doing Pap smear and for not recommending it to other ?</p> <p>Invite you to have a look of this article that was also made in Nigeria (see article Muñoz N, Bosch FX, Sanjosé S. The causal link between human papillomavirus and invasive cervical cancer: a population-based case-control study. Int J Cancer. 2006;119(5):1108–1124. doi: 10.1002/ijc.21953.</p> <p>You need to develop more your study analysis to give more interest for your manuscript.</p>	<p>Line 53 corrected</p> <p>I did not talk about these other causes of cervical cancer because those were not part of the study.</p> <p>Note that the subjects were trained nurses who had lectures on cervical cancer both in medical- surgical nursing and in midwifery.</p> <p>See above information</p> <p>See also above information</p> <p>This may be a follow up study.</p> <p>Thank you for the invitation though most of these works have been read</p> <p>Thank you for the advise</p>
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Would like more recent statistics regarding cervical cancer.</p> <p>74- This statement is true ?!!! the preference This statement may be true.</p> <p>77-78+ 82-83 gather the 2 paragraphs in one preserving the meaning to avoid repetition.</p> <p>121- how did you find 0.7?</p> <p>Figure 1: respect the same legend space.</p> <p>175- what is you confidence interval ?</p> <p>References 5 and 6 are the same.</p>	<p>Yes but not for the purpose of this work.</p> <p>Correction has been made</p> <p>Line 82-84 are deleted to avoid repetition</p> <p>How 0.7 was gotten has been explained.</p> <p>Confidence interval has been stated.</p> <p>Reference 6 has been deleted</p>
Optional/General comments		



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	